INTRODUCTION

I.

The City of Eureka ("City") respectfully petitions the State Water Resources Control Board ("State Board") to review the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, North Coast Region's ("Regional Board") failure to act on the City of Eureka's ("City") National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("NPDES") permit application for the City's operation of the Elk River Waste Water Treatment Plan ("WWTP"). The Regional Board's failure to act has injured the City and the public, and has deprived the City of the certainty required to plan for prudent long-term investments in the WWTP. The Regional Board's failure to act has also needlessly exposed the City to third-party litigation. The City requests that the State Board compel the Regional Board to comply with its mandatory duties under both federal and state law, and timely consider the City's permit application.

The City owns and operates the WWTP, which is currently subject to the terms and conditions of NPDES Permit No. CA0024449, Regional Board Order No. R1-2016-0001 (the "NPDES Permit") and the concurrently adopted Cease and Desist Order ("CDO") No. R1-2016-0012, as subsequently amended by Order R1-2020-0020. In accordance with 40 C.F.R. § 122.46(a) and Water Code § 13380, the NPDES Permit should have been renewed on or about July 31, 2021, but continues to apply to the WWTP through an extension consistent with 40 C.F.R § 122.6(a) and Cal. Code Regs., tit. 23, § 2235.4. The NPDES Permit and the CDO, by their own terms, represented a fundamental regulatory change in the manner in which the Regional Board sought to regulate discharges from the WWTP. As recognized in the NPDES Permit and CDO, the City could not comply with this significant regulatory change immediately. Therefore, time was provided to the City to develop a plan to address this new regulatory system.

In December 2020, the City timely submitted an NPDES permit application ("Application") to the Regional Board as required by law and as an important next step in implementing the new regulatory system that the Regional Board had imposed on the City. Despite the timely submission of the Application and the City's repeated request for action, the Regional Board has failed to act on the Application. The Regional Board's failure to act has

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

deprived the City of the certainty needed to plan for prudent investments in the WWTP to comply with the newly imposed regulatory system. Without Regional Board action, the City simply cannot make informed, long-term investments to the WWTP.

The City's WWTP has continued to discharge under the extended NPDES Permit. However, the significant regulatory uncertainty created by the Regional Board's failure to act has exposed the City to a third-party lawsuit. To resolve this third-party lawsuit, the City has stipulated to a Consent Decree. In recognition of the need for Regional Board action, both the City and the plaintiff in the lawsuit agreed in the Consent Decree to pursue petitions to the State Board if the Regional Board continued to fail to act. This unusual agreement by litigants just underscores the need for Regional Board action on the Application.

On November 10, 2022, in accordance with the Consent Decree, the City and the plaintiff in the lawsuit asked the Regional Board to take initial action on the City's Application (i.e., release a draft permit for public review) as soon as possible, and in no event later than ninety (90) days, that is, no later than February 8, 2023. The Regional Board initially responded on November 23, 2022 stating that Regional Board staff had tentatively scheduled a public hearing on June 8-9, 2023 for the Regional Board to consider the Application and reissue the City's NPDES permit. Staff further stated that a Notice of Public Hearing would be posted on the Regional Board webpage during the week of January 30, 2023 identifying the target Regional Board meeting and describing the public hearing procedures and comment process. The Regional Board did not meet the January 30, 2023 deadline.

On February 13, 2023, the City made one final demand for Regional Board action. It informed the Regional Board that if no action was taken by February 28, 2023, the City would file this petition. Since no action has been taken as demanded, the City now files this petition to the State Board to address the Regional Board's failure to act on or about February 28, 2023.

II.

NAME AND ADDRESS OF PETITIONER

The Petitioner is the City of Eureka. The names, address, and contact information for the City are as follows:

1				
2	Brian Gerving, Director of Public Works Autumn E. Luna, City Attorney Gregg W. Kettles			
3	City of Eureka Anya Kwan 531 "K" Street Best Best & Krieger LLP			
4	Eureka, California 95501 655 W. Broadway, 15 th Floor Email: bgerving@eurekaca.gov San Diego, CA 92101			
5	Email: aluna@eurekaca.gov Email: shawn.hagerty@bbklaw.com Email: gregg.kettles@bbklaw.com Email: anya.kwan@bbklaw.com			
6	III.			
7	THE ACTION OR INACTION OF THE			
8	REGIONAL BOARD BEING PETITIONED			
9	On or about February 28, 2023, the Regional Board failed to act on the City's final			
10	demand for Regional Board consideration of the City's Application.			
11	IV.			
12	DATE THE REGIONAL BOARD FAILED TO ACT			
In accordance with the Consent Decree, the Regional Board was requested to act on				
14	November 10, 2022 by a written letter. This letter asked the Regional Board to take initial action			
15	on the City's Application (i.e., release a draft permit for public review) as soon as possible, and in			
16 17	no event later than ninety (90) days, that is, no later than February 8, 2023. Despite assurances to			
18	the contrary, the Regional Board did not take action by that date. On February 13, 2023, the City			
19	made a final demand for Regional Board action no later than February 28, 2023. As of March 3,			
20	2023, the Regional Board has not taken action on the Application or released a draft NPDES			
21	permit for public review. Therefore, the City files this petition within thirty (30) days of the			
22	Regional Board's failure to act.			
23	V.			
24	STATEMENT OF THE REASONS THE ACTION			
25	WAS IMPROPER			
26	Federal and state law require the Regional Board to renew NPDES Permit every five (5)			
27	years. In accordance with 40 C.F.R. § 122.46(a), NPDES permits "shall be effective for a fixed			
28	term not to exceed 5 years." In accordance with Water Code §13380, the Regional Board is			

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

required to review waste discharge requirements "at least every five years." Despite multiple requests from the City, the Regional Board has failed to comply with its mandatory duty to consider and timely act on the City's Application. This failure to act is inconsistent with federal and state law, and the State Board should compel the Regional Board to act on the City's Application as required by law.

VI.

HOW PETITIONER WAS AGGRIEVED

The current NPDES Permit and CDO, by the Regional Board's own admission, is a fundamental regulatory change for the WWTP. The Regional Board and the City recognized and agreed that the City would need time, and regulatory certainty, to prudently plan for changes to the WWTP to implement this entirely new regulatory system. Despite this recognition, the Regional Board has failed to act on the City's Application, which is the next required step in the process to implement the new regulatory system imposed by the Regional Board. The Regional Board's failure to act has therefore significantly harmed the City and the public by depriving the City of the regulatory certainty needed to move forward. To make matters worse, the Regional Board's failure to act has resulted in a citizen suit and Consent Decree, which imposed unnecessary costs to the City and put unnecessary demands on City resources that instead could have been used to invest in the system. Even now, as reflected in the Consent Decree, the Regional Board's failure to act prevents the City from making wise investments in the WWTP and requires the City to move forward on dual tracks in the absence of regulatory certainty from the Regional Board. The State Board must step in to help the City and the public find the certainty needed to move forward.

23

24

25

26

27

28

ACTIONS PETITIONERS REQUESTS STATE BOARD TAKE

VII.

The City requests the State Board to require the Regional Board to take immediate action on the City's Application. At a minimum, the State Board should compel the Regional Board to release a draft permit within thirty (30) days and hold a hearing on the permit no later than June 9, 2023.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW	655 West Broadway, 15th Floor	SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92101
	655 W	SAN

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

VIII.

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF PETITION

A Memorandum of Points and Authorities is filed with this Petition and is incorporated herein by reference.

IX.

STATEMENT OF COPIES FURNISHED

A copy of this Petition has been sent to the Regional Water Quality Control Board for the North Coast Region and such other parties and individuals listed on the attached proof of service.

X.

STATEMENT OF ISSUES RAISED

The City has raised these issues before the Regional Board on multiple occasions, including, but not limited to, in the letter dated on November 10, 2022 requesting action by February 8, 2023. In addition, the City raised these issues in a letter dated February 13, 2023 and demanded final action by February 28, 2023.

XI.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons set forth in this Petition and in the related documents filed herewith, the City respectfully requests the State Board to require the Regional Board to take immediate action on the City's Application. At a minimum, the State Board should compel the Regional Board to release a draft permit within thirty (30) days and hold a hearing on the permit no later than June 9, 2023.

Dated: March 3, 2023, BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP

24 By: /s/ Anya Kwan SHAWN D. HAGERTY 25 GREGG W. KETTLES

> ANYA KWAN Attorneys for Defendant CITY OF EUREKA

27

26

28